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## Introduction

Planted cotton acreage in the Texas Panhandle increased by approximately 115,000 acres in 2014. As regional groundwater water levels decline across the Texas Panhandle, producers are unable to meet the water demand for many crops. Cotton is a sustainable alternative for limited irrigated conditions that is increasing in popularity among Panhandle producers. Cotton yields in the Texas Panhandle increased by approximately 80,000 bales in 2014 from 2013 production. Increased annual production is attributed to increased harvested acreage. While in-season precipitation was comparable to seasonal averages, heat unit accumulation was greatly reduced through July 2014 resulting in delayed reproductive growth and boll development across the central and northern Panhandle. In short-season cotton producing regions, variety selection is critical to avoid yield penalties due to the narrow production window between planting and maturity. Early and medium maturing varieties have a shorter bloom period and are generally more determinant than full season varieties. As a result, early maturing varieties are often unable to recover from in-season stress. The objective of this project was to evaluate the profitability of newer early and medium maturing cotton varieties grown in on-farm trials in the Texas Panhandle.

## Variety Characteristics

In the 2014 Texas Panhandle Cotton Variety Trials, the following varieties were planted at 5 locations:

- Deltapine 1212B2RF: early maturating variety with excellent seed vigor. Well suited for limited irrigation. Medium to medium-short plant height.
- Deltapine 1410B2RF: early maturing, light hairy leaf and medium plant height.
- FiberMax 1320GL: an early maturing, short plant
- FiberMax 1830GLT: an early, medium maturing variety with a smooth leaf and moderate storm resistance
- FiberMax 2011GT: a short stature, early maturing variety
- NexGen 1511B2RF: a medium maturing with semi-smooth leaf. Plant height is medium to tall and labeled to be moderately storm tolerant.
- NexGen 3306B2RF: an early-medium maturing variety with a semi-smooth leaf. Plant height is medium to tall and labeled to be very storm tolerant.
- PhytoGen 222WRF: a very early maturing variety with a smooth leaf, short plant height and excellent storm tolerance
- PhytoGen 333WRF: a medium to tall, early maturing variety with a hairy leaf type that is labeled to be very storm tolerant
- PhytoGen 339WRF: a tall, early maturing variety with fair storm tolerance that has fair storm tolerance
- Stoneville 4747GLB2: a very early maturing variety


## Materials and Methods

Varieties were planted in a randomized complete block design with three replications at each of the five original locations. 2014 trials were located in the following counties:

| County | Location | Agent | Cooperator |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sherman | Sunray | Marcel Fischbacher | Tommy Cartrite |
| Moore | Dumas | Marcel Fischbacher | Stan Spain |
| Hartley | Dalhart | Michael Bragg | Mark and Ryan Williams |
| Gray | Pampa | Brandon McGinty | Ryan Davis |
| Carson | White Deer | Jody Bradford | Dudley Pohnert |

All locations were under center pivot irrigation. Weed and insect control measures, if needed, and harvest aid applications were performed by cooperating producers. Plots were harvested with commercial harvesters by producers with assistance provided by program personnel at all locations. The Carson County location was lost in early June due to thrips and hail damage. The remaining locations were taken to harvest; however, the yield at the Sherman County location was reduced by a late storm. Plots were harvested using producer/cooperator equipment, and grab samples were taken by plot and ginned at the Texas A\&M AgriLife Research and Extension Center at Lubbock. Resulting lint samples were submitted to the Texas Tech University - Fiber and Biopolymer Research Institute for HVI fiber analysis and CCC loan values were calculated for all locations except the Sunray, Sherman County location. At Sunray, plot conditions were poor and variable at the time of harvest; upper position bolls had been dropped and lower bolls were of varying condition. Lint was strung out from the bolls that were open, or lint remained tight in the bur. Lint samples were insufficient to be properly evaluated for HVI fiber quality.
2014 Agronomic Information for Each Location:

| County | Sherman | Moore | Hartley | Gray |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Sunray | Dumas | Middlewater | Pampa |
| Latitude, Longitude | 36.113855, -101.765726 | 35.929955, -102.135087 | 35.866343, -102.802080 | 35.604750, -100.951973 |
| Soil Type | Sherman Clay Loam | Sherman Silt Loam | Dallam Fine Sandy Loam | Pullman Clay Loam |
| Irrigation | N/A | 7.18" | 18" | 10" (2" pre) |
| Precipitation | 10.8" | 8.6" | 5.5" | 12.2" |
| Previous Crop | Grain Sorghum | Cotton | Wheat | Wheat |
| Fertilizer | N/A | N/A | 30 units NPK | N/A |
| Planting Population | 56000 | 65000 | 55000 | 58000 |
| Replications | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Date Planted | 5/7/2014 | 5/6/2014 | 5/8/2014 | 5/23/2014 |
| Date of Initial Harvest Aid Application |  | 10/17/2014 | 10/20/2014 | 10/21/2014 |
| Harvest Aid |  | Harvest Pro $32 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Folex $12 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Boll'd $32 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ ) |
|  |  | Folex $16 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Ethephon $32 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ | Folex 16 oz/ac + MSO 4oz/ac |
| Date of Sequential Harvest Aid Application |  | 10/31/2014 | 11/1/2014 |  |
| Harvest Aid |  | Sharpen 1 oz /ac | Gramoxone $28 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{ac}$ |  |
|  |  | Harvest Pro 16 oz/ac |  |  |
| Harvest Date | 1/20/2015 | 12/4/2014 | 12/3 \& 4/2014 | 1/16/2015 |
| Varieties | Deltapine 1212B2RF | Deltapine 1212B2RF | Deltapine 1212B2RF | Deltapine 1212B2RF |
|  | Deltapine 1410B2RF | Deltapine 1410B2RF | Deltapine 1410B2RF | Deltapine 1410B2RF |
|  | FiberMax 1320GL | FiberMax 1320GL | FiberMax 1320GL | FiberMax 1320GL |
|  | FiberMax 2011GT | FiberMax 2011GT | FiberMax 1830GLT | FiberMax 2011GT |
|  | NexGen 1511B2RF | NexGen 1511B2RF | FiberMax 2011GT | NexGen 1511B2RF |
|  | NexGen 3306B2RF | NexGen 3306B2RF | NexGen 3306B2RF | NexGen 3306B2RF |
|  | PhytoGen 222WRF | PhytoGen 222WRF | PhytoGen 222WRF | PhytoGen 222WRF |
|  | PhytoGen 333WRF | PhytoGen 333WRF | Stoneville 4747GLB2 | PhytoGen 333WRF |
|  | Stoneville 4747GLB2 | PhytoGen 339WRF |  | PhytoGen 339WRF |
|  |  | Stoneville 4747GLB2 |  | Stoneville 4747GLB2 |

## Yield and HVI Results

## Location 1 - Sunray, Sherman County

At the Sunray, Sherman County location, substantial field variability was observed and resulted in significant differences among varieties for lint and seed turnout (Table 1). Lint turnouts of field-cleaned bur cotton averaged $17.9 \%$ with a high of $22.5 \%$ for Stoneville 4747GLB2 and a low of $15.4 \%$ for PhytoGen 222WRF. Seed turnouts averaged $37.8 \%$ and ranged from a high of $45.3 \%$ for Stoneville 4747GLB2 to a low of $30.5 \%$ for NexGen1511B2RF. Bur cotton, lint and seed yields averaged 2300, 427, and $897 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{acre}$, respectively. Stoneville 4747GLB2 had the highest lint yield of $925 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$. Lint samples were unable to be evaluated for HVI fiber analysis which prevented evaluation of economic parameters.

## Location 2 - Dumas, Moore County

At the Dumas, Moore County location, lint turnouts of field-cleaned bur cotton averaged $28.7 \%$ (Table 2) with a high of $30.7 \%$ for FiberMax 1320GL. Bur cotton yields averaged $3398 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$ and Stoneville 4747GLB2 was greatest with $4011 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$. Lint yields averaged $977 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ and ranged from a high of $1183 \mathrm{lb} /$ acre for Stoneville 4747GLB2 to a low of $801 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$ for NexGen1511B2RF. Seed yields averaged $1731 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre across all varieties. Loan values derived from grab samples averaged \$0.4959, and ranged from a high of $\$ 0.5127$ for Deltapine 1212B2RF to a low of $\$ 0.4517$ for NexGen1511B2RF. After applying loan values to lint yields, the test average lint value was $\$ 485.50 /$ acre. After subtracting ginning and seed/technology costs from total value (lint value + seed value), net value averaged \$505.60/acre all across varieties. Net values ranged from a high of $\$ 620.47 /$ acre to a low of $\$ 366.19 /$ acre for Stoneville 4747GLB2 and NexGen1511B2RF, respectively. FiberMax 2011GT \$597.37/acre), FiberMax 1320GL (\$565.91/acre), and PhytoGen 333WRF (\$552.36/acre) were included in the statistical upper tier for net value with Stoneville 4747GLB2. A difference of approximately \$254/acre was observed between the highest and lowest performing varieties at this location.

Classing data from grab samples are reported in Table 3. Micronaire values ranged from a high of 3.0 for FiberMax 1320GL to a low of 2.3 for NexGen1511B2RF. Staple was highest for Deltapine 1410B2RF (36.9) and lowest for NexGen 1511B2RF (34.6). The highest uniformity, $82.4 \%$, was observed in NexGen 3306B2RF and NexGen 1511B2RF had the lowest with $80.5 \%$. Fiber strength values ranged from a high of 30.4 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{tex}$ for NexGen 3306B2RF to a low of $26.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{tex}$ for Stoneville 4747GLB2. Elongation averaged $7.7 \%$ and leaf grades averaged 1.4 across varieties. Color grade components of Rd (reflectance) and +b (yellowness) averaged 80.5 and 8.2, respectively and resulted in average color grades of mostly 21.

## Location 3 - Middlewater, Hartley County

Lint turnouts of field-cleaned bur cotton at the Middlewater, Hartley County location, averaged $31.9 \%$ (Table 4). Bur cotton yields averaged $4293 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$ and lint yields ranged from a high of $1544 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre for FiberMax 2011GT to a low of $1205 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre for PhytoGen 222WRF. Seed yields averaged 1989 lbs/acre. Loan values derived from grab samples averaged $\$ 0.5710 / \mathrm{lb}$ across all varieties. After applying loan values to lint
yields, the test average lint value was $\$ 782.45 /$ acre. After subtracting ginning and seed/technology costs from total value (lint value + seed value), net value averaged $\$ 821.67 /$ acre across all varieties. Net values ranged from a high of $\$ 929.85 /$ acre for FiberMax 2011GT to a low of \$721.17/acre for PhytoGen 222WRF. A difference of approximately \$209/acre was observed between the highest and lowest performing varieties at this location.

Classing data from grab samples at Middlewater are reported in Table 5. Micronaire values at averaged 3.9. and ranged from a high of 4.3 for FiberMax 1320GL to a low of 3.6 for Deltapine 1410B2RF. Staple averaged 37.1 and uniformity averaged $82.1 \%$. The highest staple was observed in FiberMax 1830GLT (38.5) and the greatest uniformity value of $83.6 \%$ was observed in NexGen 3306B2RF. Fiber strength values ranged from a high of $32.2 \mathrm{~g} /$ tex for NexGen 3306B2RF to a low of $28.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{tex}$ for Stoneville 4747GLB2. Elongation and leaf grades averaged $8.2 \%$ and 1.3, respectively. Color grade components, reflectance (Rd) and yellow (+b) averaged 79.6 and 7.9 respectively. This resulted in color grades of mostly 21 and 31.

## Location 4 - Pampa, Gray County

At the Pampa, Gray County location, lint turnouts of field-cleaned bur cotton averaged $27.3 \%$ (Table 6). Bur cotton yields averaged 4767 lbs/acre and PhytoGen 339WRF was greatest with $5374 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$. Lint yields ranged from a high of $1498 \mathrm{lbs} / a c r e$ for PhytoGen 339WRF to a low of $1100 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{acre}$ for Stoneville 4747GLB2. Seed yields averaged $2295 \mathrm{lbs} /$ acre across all varieties. Loan values derived from grab samples averaged $\$ 0.5043 / \mathrm{lb}$ and ranged from $\$ 0.5377$ for Deltapine 1212 B 2 RF to $\$ 0.4900$ for NexGen 3306B2RF. After applying loan values to lint yields, the test average lint value was $\$ 657.92 /$ acre. After subtracting ginning and seed/technology costs from total value (lint value + seed value), net value averaged \$721.97/acre across all varieties. Net values ranged from a high of $\$ 848.63 /$ acre to a low of $\$ 583.05 /$ acre for Deltapine 1212B2RF and Stoneville 4747GLB2, respectively. PhytoGen 339WRF (\$830.92/acre), FiberMax 2011GT (\$829.04/acre), and FiberMax 1320GL (\$810.44/acre) were not statistically different from Deltapine 1212B2RF in terms of net value. A difference of approximately $\$ 265 /$ acre was observed between the highest and lowest performing varieties at this location.

Classing data from grab samples are reported in Table 7. Significant differences were observed among varieties for strength and elongation only at this location. Micronaire values averaged 2.9., staple averaged 37.5, and uniformity averaged 82.1\%. Fiber strength values ranged from a high of $30.7 \mathrm{~g} /$ tex for FiberMax 1320GL to a low of 27.5 g/tex for PhytoGen 339WRF. Elongation values averaged 7.5\% and leaf grades averaged 2.1. Color grade components, reflectance (Rd) and yellow (+b) averaged 76.9 and 7.9, respectively. This resulted in color grades of mostly 31 and 41.

## Summary and Conclusions

Over the last several years, cotton producers in the Texas Panhandle region have increased planted acreage of cotton from approximately 616 thousand in 2008 to approximately 1.25 million in 2011. While regional cotton production has been variable since 2011 due to drought conditions, regionally, cotton production is still a very
important part of the Panhandle economy. With improved genetics and technologies, as well as the benefits of rotational crop management systems, cotton yields in the Texas Panhandle topped 1.4 million bales in 2010. In 2014, production increased approximately 90,000 bales over 2013 to 845,000 bales. As producers begin to regain cotton acreage, data generated from regional variety trials is utilized in varietal selections. Characteristics commonly evaluated include lint yield, turnout percentages, fiber quality, and earliness. The objective of this project was to evaluate the profitability of cotton varieties in producers' fields in the Texas Panhandle. Trials where located in Sherman County (northeast of Sunray), Moore County (northwest of Dumas), Hartley County (west of Middlewater), Gray County (north of Pampa), and Carson County (south of White Deer). The Carson County location was lost in early June due to thrips and hail. The remaining locations were taken to harvest; however, the yield at the Sherman County location was reduced by a late storm.

Across all trials, the greatest average lint turnout was $31.9 \%$ at the Middlewater location. The greatest average bur cotton yield was $4767 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$ at Pampa with the greatest bur cotton yield achieved by PhytoGen 339WRF at $5374 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{ac}$. However, the greatest test average net value was achieved at Middlewater with $\$ 821.67 /$ acre. Evaluation of the highest and lowest performing varieties at Middlewater, Dumas and Pampa resulted in an overall difference of approximately $\$ 243 /$ acre. Several varieties performed well at individual locations, and when comparing across locations, Deltapine 1212B2RF, FiberMax 1320GL, FiberMax 1830GLT, FiberMax 2011GT, PhytoGen 333WRF, PhytoGen 339WRF, and Stoneville 4747GLB2 were generally in the statistical upper tier for net value. Differences in net value were observed among varieties at all locations for 2014. However, this is not always the case and producers should compare varieties across as many years and locations as possible before deciding on a new variety. As industry continues to release new varieties with varying technologies, additional multisite and multi-year applied research is needed to evaluate these varieties across a series of environments.
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Table 1. Harvest results from the Large Plot Replicated Irrigated Cotton Variety Trial , Cartrite Farm, Moore, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Lint turnout | Seed turnout | Bur cotton yield | Lint yield | Seed yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -------- \% -------- --------------------------------------1/acre |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 22.5 | 45.3 | 4102 | 925 a | 1858 |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 20.8 | 43.6 | 2804 | 582 b | 1221 |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 17.2 | 36.5 | 2647 | 455 b | 967 |
| PhytoGen 333WRF | 17.1 | 35.8 | 2645 | 452 b | 946 |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 18.0 | 35.4 | 2066 | 373 bc | 731 |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 17.4 | 38.7 | 1865 | 325 bc | 722 |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 15.4 | 36.5 | 2036 | 314 bc | 743 |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 16.3 | 37.7 | 1475 | 241 c | 557 |
| NexGen 1511B2RF | 16.6 | 30.5 | 1063 | 177 c | 324 |
| Test average | 17.9 | 37.8 | 2300 | 427 | 897 |
| CV, \% | 7.9 | 10.3 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 12.3 |
| OSL | 0.0003 | 0.0099 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |
| LSD | 2.5 | 6.7 | 459 | 92 | 190 |
| For lint yield, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probability level. CV - coefficient of variation. <br> OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater $F$ value. <br> LSL - least significant diffierence at the 0.05 level. <br> Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error. |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Harvest results from theLarge Plot Irrigated Replicated Cotton Variety TrialStan Spain Farm, Dumas - Moore Co, TX, 2014.

| Entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lint } \\ & \text { turnout } \end{aligned}$ | Seed turnout | Bur cotton yield | Lint yield | Seed yield | Lint loan value | Lint value | Seed value | Total value | Ginning cost | Seed/technology cost | Net value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------- \% -------- |  | ------------- lb/acre ------------- |  |  | \$/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 29.5 | 51.1 | 4011 | 1183 | 2050 | 0.4965 | 587.27 | 256.24 | 843.52 | 120.32 | 102.73 | 620.47 a |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 30.2 | 50.9 | 3646 | 1102 | 1855 | 0.5072 | 559.04 | 231.91 | 790.96 | 109.39 | 84.20 | 597.37 ab |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 30.7 | 48.9 | 3419 | 1050 | 1671 | 0.5232 | 549.40 | 208.91 | 758.31 | 102.58 | 89.82 | 565.91 abc |
| PhytoGen 333WRF | 28.2 | 50.8 | 3773 | 1063 | 1917 | 0.4910 | 521.99 | 239.58 | 761.57 | 113.18 | 96.03 | 552.36 abc |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 29.0 | 50.5 | 3371 | 978 | 1703 | 0.5127 | 501.64 | 212.82 | 714.46 | 101.12 | 96.55 | 516.79 bcd |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 28.4 | 53.3 | 3414 | 971 | 1821 | 0.4948 | 480.42 | 227.67 | 708.09 | 102.43 | 96.55 | 509.11 bcde |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 26.9 | 50.0 | 3482 | 936 | 1741 | 0.4905 | 459.34 | 217.61 | 676.95 | 104.46 | 96.03 | 476.45 cde |
| PhytoGen 339WRF | 27.4 | 50.2 | 3222 | 882 | 1617 | 0.4833 | 426.25 | 202.12 | 628.37 | 96.65 | 96.03 | 435.69 def |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 29.5 | 53.6 | 2725 | 803 | 1461 | 0.5077 | 407.66 | 182.67 | 590.33 | 81.74 | 92.92 | 415.67 ef |
| NexGen 1511B2RF | 27.4 | 50.6 | 2920 | 801 | 1478 | 0.4517 | 361.96 | 184.75 | 546.71 | 87.60 | 92.92 | 366.19 f |
| Test average | 28.7 | 51.0 | 3398 | 977 | 1731 | 0.4959 | 485.50 | 216.43 | 701.93 | 101.95 | 94.38 | 505.60 |
| CV, \% | 5.9 | 4.3 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 9.7 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.6 | -- | 11.6 |
| OSL | 0.1664 | 0.2999 | 0.0052 | 0.0012 | 0.0092 | 0.0036 | 0.0002 | 0.0092 | 0.0010 | 0.0052 | -- | 0.0007 |
| LSD | NS | NS | 557 | 161 | 290 | 0.0278 | 81.00 | 36.26 | 117.20 | 16.72 | -- | 100.50 |
| For net value/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probabilit CV - coefficient of variation. <br> OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater $F$ value. <br> LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, NS - not significant. <br> Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumes: \$3.00/cwt ginning co $\$ 250 /$ ton for seed. Value for lint based | value fr | grab san | les and FBR | VI result |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. HVI fiber property results from theLarge Plot Irrigated Replicated Cotton Variety Trial Stan Spain Farm, Dumas - Moore Co, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Micronaire | Staple | Uniformity | Strength | Elongation | Leaf | Rd | +b | Color grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | units | $32^{\text {nds }}$ inch | \% | g/tex | \% | grade | reflectance | yellowness | color 1 | color 2 |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 2.8 | 35.8 | 81.9 | 28.9 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 79.3 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 2.6 | 36.9 | 80.9 | 29.7 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 80.2 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 3.0 | 35.0 | 81.6 | 28.3 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 80.2 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 2.7 | 35.4 | 81.3 | 28.1 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 82.1 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| NexGen 1511B2RF | 2.3 | 34.6 | 80.5 | 26.4 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 80.6 | 8.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 2.7 | 36.5 | 82.4 | 30.4 | 8.4 | 1.0 | 80.2 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 2.8 | 35.1 | 82.3 | 28.2 | 8.9 | 1.0 | 80.3 | 8.3 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 333WRF | 2.6 | 35.9 | 81.1 | 28.9 | 7.2 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 8.7 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 339WRF | 2.5 | 35.5 | 81.3 | 28.0 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 81.5 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 2.8 | 36.1 | 80.7 | 26.2 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 80.9 | 7.1 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| Test average | 2.7 | 35.7 | 81.4 | 28.3 | 7.7 | 1.4 | 80.5 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| CV, \% | 5.5 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 48.8 | 1.5 | 3.1 | -- | -- |
| OSL | 0.0010 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0011 | <0.0001 | 0.2430 | 0.2748 | <0.0001 | -- | -- |
| LSD | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.8 | NS | NS | 0.4 | -- | -- |

[^0]Table 4. Harvest results from the Large Plot Replicated Irrigated Cotton Variety Trial, Mark and Ryan Williams Farm, Middlewater, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Lint turnout | Seed turnout | Bur cotton yield | Lint yield | Seed yield | Lint loan value | Lint value | Seed value | Total value | Ginning cost | Seed/technology cost | Net value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------- \% -------- |  | ------------- lb/acre ------------- |  |  | \$/lb |  | -------- | ------- | - \$/acre | ------------------ | ----- |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 33.8 | 45.1 | 4569 | 1544 | 2062 | 0.5703 | 880.45 | 257.71 | 1138.16 | 137.07 | 71.25 | 929.85 a |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 34.7 | 44.9 | 4239 | 1473 | 1904 | 0.5658 | 833.32 | 238.04 | 1071.36 | 127.17 | 76.00 | 868.18 ab |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 31.9 | 46.4 | 4513 | 1438 | 2096 | 0.5670 | 815.17 | 262.01 | 1077.18 | 135.40 | 81.70 | 860.09 ab |
| FiberMax 1830GLT | 34.2 | 46.5 | 4176 | 1428 | 1940 | 0.5785 | 825.95 | 242.50 | 1068.45 | 125.27 | 87.00 | 856.17 ab |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 30.5 | 45.3 | 4423 | 1351 | 2005 | 0.5615 | 758.67 | 250.59 | 1009.26 | 132.70 | 86.93 | 789.64 bc |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 30.3 | 49.4 | 4157 | 1260 | 2053 | 0.5822 | 733.26 | 256.59 | 989.85 | 124.72 | 78.63 | 786.50 bc |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 30.4 | 47.9 | 4179 | 1269 | 2003 | 0.5663 | 718.45 | 250.39 | 968.84 | 125.36 | 81.70 | 761.78 c |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 29.5 | 45.1 | 4091 | 1205 | 1846 | 0.5760 | 694.37 | 230.80 | 925.17 | 122.73 | 81.26 | 721.17 c |
| Test average | 31.9 | 46.3 | 4293 | 1371 | 1989 | 0.5710 | 782.45 | 248.58 | 1031.03 | 128.80 | 80.56 | 821.67 |
| cv, \% | 5.2 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | -- | 6.0 |
| OSL | 0.0072 | 0.0921 $\dagger$ | 0.1743 | 0.0009 | 0.1503 | 0.5135 | 0.0012 | 0.1493 | 0.0079 | 0.1738 | -- | 0.0029 |
| LSD | 2.9 | 2.6 | NS | 134 | NS | NS | 75.78 | NS | 99.26 | NS | -- | 86.86 |
| For net value/acre, means within a column with the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 probabil CV - coefficient of variation. <br> OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater $F$ value. <br> LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, tindicates significance at the 0.10 level, NS - not significant. <br> Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumes: <br> \$3.00/cwt ginning cos <br> $\$ 250$ /ton for seed. <br> Value for lint based on | value fr | grab sam | les and FBR | HVI result |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. HVI fiber property results from the Large Plot Replicated Irrigated Cotton Variety Trial, Mark and Ryan Williams Farm, Middlewater, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Micronaire | Staple | Uniformity | Strength | Elongation | Leaf | Rd | +b | Color grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | units | $32^{\text {nds }}$ inch | \% | g/tex | \% | grade | reflectance | yellowness | color 1 | color 2 |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 4.0 | 37.4 | 82.4 | 32.1 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 78.1 | 8.2 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 3.6 | 37.8 | 81.0 | 30.9 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 79.4 | 7.9 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 4.3 | 35.6 | 82.0 | 30.6 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 79.8 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 1830GLT | 3.7 | 38.5 | 82.2 | 30.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 81.2 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 3.8 | 35.7 | 81.7 | 30.5 | 7.7 | 1.3 | 80.0 | 7.6 | 2.7 | 1.0 |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 3.8 | 38.3 | 83.6 | 32.2 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 80.2 | 8.8 | 2.0 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 4.1 | 36.3 | 82.6 | 29.3 | 9.8 | 1.3 | 80.3 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 4.0 | 37.1 | 80.8 | 28.0 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 77.8 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Test average | 3.9 | 37.1 | 82.1 | 30.6 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 79.6 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| CV, \% | 6.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 54.6 | 1.6 | 3.9 | -- | -- |
| OSL | $0.0692{ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0174 | 0.0009 | <0.0001 | 0.9110 | $0.0637{ }^{\dagger}$ | 0.0009 | -- | -- |
| LSD | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 | NS | 1.8 | 0.5 | -- | -- |

Table 6. Harvest results from theLarge Plot Replicated Irrigated Cotton Variety TrialRyan Davis Farm, Pampa, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Lint turnout | Seed turnout | Bur cotton yield | Lint yield | Seed yield | Lint loan value | Lint value | Seed value | Total value | Ginning cost | Seed/technology cost | Net value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -------- \% -------- |  | ------------- Ib/acre ------------- |  |  | \$/lb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 29.7 | 48.2 | 4893 | 1455 | 2359 | 0.5377 | 782.26 | 294.85 | 1077.11 | 146.78 | 81.70 | 848.63 a |
| PhytoGen 339WRF | 27.9 | 48.5 | 5374 | 1498 | 2606 | 0.4992 | 747.71 | 325.70 | 1073.41 | 161.23 | 81.26 | 830.92 a |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 28.9 | 47.9 | 5193 | 1502 | 2489 | 0.4960 | 744.91 | 311.15 | 1056.07 | 155.78 | 71.25 | 829.04 a |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 29.0 | 46.9 | 5029 | 1460 | 2358 | 0.5087 | 742.62 | 294.69 | 1037.32 | 150.87 | 76.00 | 810.44 ab |
| PhytoGen 333WRF | 26.7 | 48.6 | 4918 | 1314 | 2390 | 0.5105 | 670.75 | 298.78 | 969.54 | 147.53 | 81.26 | 740.75 bc |
| NexGen 1511B2RF | 24.7 | 48.0 | 4797 | 1187 | 2304 | 0.5073 | 602.01 | 287.95 | 889.96 | 143.91 | 78.63 | 667.43 cd |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 26.0 | 49.9 | 4589 | 1194 | 2292 | 0.4968 | 593.21 | 286.44 | 879.65 | 137.67 | 81.70 | 660.28 d |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 25.8 | 49.1 | 4479 | 1156 | 2200 | 0.4900 | 566.24 | 275.05 | 841.29 | 134.38 | 78.63 | 628.29 de |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 28.4 | 46.0 | 4105 | 1166 | 1888 | 0.5053 | 589.30 | 235.99 | 825.28 | 123.14 | 81.26 | 620.89 de |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 25.6 | 48.2 | 4289 | 1100 | 2067 | 0.4912 | 540.22 | 258.41 | 798.64 | 128.67 | 86.93 | 583.05 e |
| Test average | 27.3 | 48.1 | 4767 | 1303 | 2295 | 0.5043 | 657.92 | 286.90 | 944.83 | 143.00 | 79.86 | 721.97 |
| CV, \% | 11.1 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | -- | 6.0 |
| OSL | 0.4991 | 0.9966 | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.6836 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0002 | -- | <0.0001 |
| LSD | NS | NS | 439 | 121 | 212 | NS | 60.38 | 26.52 | 86.83 | 13.16 | -- | 73.69 |

For net value/acre, mariation.
CV - coefficient of variation. LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, NS - not significant.
Note: some columns may not add up due to rounding error.
Assumes
\$3.00/cwt ginning cost.
Value for lint based on CCC loan value from grab samples and FBRI HVI results.
Table 7. HVI fiber property results from the Large Plot Replicated Irrigated Cotton Variety TrialRyan Davis Farm, Pampa, TX, 2014.

| Entry | Micronaire | Staple | Uniformity | Strength | Elongation | Leaf | Rd | +b | Color grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | units | $32^{\text {nds }}$ inch | \% | g/tex | \% | grade | reflectance | yellowness | color 1 | color 2 |
| Deltapine 1212B2RF | 3.2 | 37.2 | 82.1 | 30.4 | 8.2 | 2.7 | 76.5 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Deltapine 1410B2RF | 2.9 | 38.4 | 81.9 | 30.5 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 76.6 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 1320GL | 3.0 | 38.1 | 82.8 | 30.7 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 77.8 | 7.7 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| FiberMax 2011GT | 2.9 | 36.9 | 82.3 | 29.6 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 75.9 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 |
| NexGen 1511B2RF | 2.9 | 37.4 | 82.6 | 29.3 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 77.7 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 |
| NexGen 3306B2RF | 2.9 | 38.1 | 81.9 | 29.8 | 8.0 | 2.3 | 75.8 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 222WRF | 2.9 | 36.6 | 81.9 | 29.3 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 75.5 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 333WRF | 2.9 | 37.4 | 82.4 | 30.2 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 77.5 | 7.8 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| PhytoGen 339WRF | 2.9 | 37.4 | 81.4 | 27.5 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 77.7 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 1.0 |
| Stoneville 4747GLB2 | 2.7 | 37.5 | 82.0 | 29.4 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 77.6 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 1.0 |
| Test average | 2.9 | 37.5 | 82.1 | 29.7 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 76.9 | 7.9 | 3.4 | 1.0 |
| CV, \% | 9.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 43.4 | 1.8 | 6.5 | -- | -- |
| OSL | 0.7374 | 0.1729 | 0.6354 | 0.0029 | 0.0471 | 0.5426 | 0.3319 | 0.0464 | -- | -- |
| LSD | NS | NS | NS | 1.3 | 1.3 | NS | NS | 0.9 | -- | -- |

[^1]
[^0]:    OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater F value. LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, NS - not significant

[^1]:    OSL - observed significance level, or probability of a greater $F$ value. LSD - least significant difference at the 0.05 level, NS - not significant

