Cross-species transferability of microsatellite markers from six aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) species and their use for evaluating biotypic diversity in two cereal aphids
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Abstract
The abundance and distribution of microsatellites, or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) were explored in the expressed sequence tag (EST) and genomic sequences of the pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Harris), and the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer). A total of 108 newly developed, together with 40 published, SSR markers were investigated for their cross-species transferability among six aphid species. Genetic diversity among six greenbug, *Schizaphis graminum* (Rondani) and two Russian wheat aphid, *Diuraphis noxia* (Kurdjumov) biotypes was further examined with 67 transferable SSRs. It was found that the pea aphid genome is abundant in SSRs with a unique frequency and distribution of SSR motifs. Cross-species transferability of EST-derived SSRs is dependent on phylogenetic closeness between SSR donor and target species, but is higher than that of genomic SSRs. Neighbor-joining analysis of SSR data revealed host-adapted genetic divergence as well as regional differentiation of greenbug biotypes. The two Russian wheat aphid biotypes are genetically as diverse as the greenbug ones although it was introduced into the USA only 20 years ago. This is the first report of large-scale development of SSR markers in aphids, which are expected to have wide applications in aphid genetic, ecological and evolutionary studies.
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Introduction
Simple sequence repeats (SSRs), or microsatellites, are short elements consisting of tandem repeat units of one to six base pairs in length which are widely distributed throughout all eukaryotic genomes (Tautz, 1989). Compared with other DNA-based molecular markers, SSRs have a number of advantages, such as their abundance and dispersion throughout the entire genome, high information content, co-dominant inheritance, reproducibility and genomic specificity, and adaptation to automation (Morgante & Plivieri, 1993; Powell et al., 1996). SSR markers have been used extensively for genome mapping, DNA fingerprinting and a wide range of genetic diversity, population and evolutionary studies in many eukaryotic organisms.

Two types of SSR marker can be recognized based on their origin. One is derived from genomic sequences (genomic SSRs), and the other from expressed sequence tags (ESTs) (EST-SSRs). In general, genomic SSRs are more polymorphic than EST-SSRs. However, except in species with whole or partial genome sequences available, development of genomic SSRs is time-consuming and costly. In contrast, with increasingly available ESTs, EST-SSRs can be developed at trivial cost from the EST databases. As EST-SSRs are developed from transcribed regions that are better conserved among genomes; they show a higher degree of transferability to closely related species (e.g. Sourdille et al., 2001; Yu et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2005) than do genomic SSRs. Therefore, genomic SSRs are more often used for genetic diversity studies within a single species, whereas EST-SSRs can be used in phylogenetically related species where SSR markers are relatively scarce or hard to develop because of lack of sequence information.

In recent years, SSR markers have also been used in a number of studies with aphids, such as work on population genetics (e.g. Sunnucks et al., 1996; Simon et al., 1999; Massonnet et al., 2002; Llewellyn et al., 2003); genetic diversity (e.g. Figueroa et al., 1999; Fuller et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2002; Simon et al., 2003), sexual reproduction (e.g. Sunnucks et al., 1997; Delmotte et al., 2002; Papura et al., 2003) and evolution (e.g. Wilson et al., 1999). However, all of these studies were based on only a few publicly available
genomic SSRs isolated from a limited number of aphid species (Sunnucks et al., 1996; Simon et al., 1999; Vanlerberge-Masutti et al., 1999; Sloane et al., 2001; Caillaud et al., 2004; summarized in Wilson et al., 2004). To our knowledge, no publicly accessible EST-SSRs have been reported in any aphid species to date. The lack of highly polymorphic, easy-to-use molecular markers such as SSRs may be one important reason why there have been so few genetic mapping studies in aphids (e.g. Hawthorne & Via, 2001; Braendle et al., 2005).

The greenbug, Schizaphis graminum (Rondani), and Russian wheat aphid (RWA, Diuraphis noxia (Kurdjumov) are the two most important aphid pests of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in the Southern Great Plains of the USA. They are especially notorious as a result of periodic appearance of new prevailing biotypes that overcome host resistance. Biotypic variation is common in aphids, but the definition of biotype varies in different insects (Diehl & Bush, 1984). Greenbug and RWA biotypes were defined by their abilities to damage different plant genotypes (Shufran et al., 1991; Haley et al., 2004). So far, nearly 30 greenbug (Porter et al. 1997; Burd & Porter 2006) and five RWA biotypes (Haley et al., 2004; Burd et al. 2006) have been identified. Independently of, and well before, the advent of modern agriculture, it was believed that the greenbug species complex was composed of host-adapted races that diverged on grass species (Porter et al., 1997; Shufran et al., 2000). Biotypes are comprised of genetically diverse individuals that share similar virulence genes (Anstead et al., 2002). Previous investigations have suggested that virulence of the greenbug is controlled by at least two genes plus modifiers (Puterka & Peters, 1989, 1995). However, no further study was made on the genetic basis of virulence in the greenbug against host resistance in wheat or sorghum. One method of studying the genetics of virulence is genetic mapping of the virulence locus/loci with SSR markers. Thus, for a 10 bp SSR, one occurrence may comprise a repeat of five dinucleotides, four trinucleotides, three tetranucleotides or two penta-nucleotides. The SSR motifs include both strands of the DNA sequence, AAT, for example, also includes TTA and the reverse complements TAA and ATT. The total lengths of each repeat type were used to estimate abundance of SSRs in the genome.

The pea aphid has a genome of approximately 525 Mb (Sabater-Muñoz et al., 2006). We screened 36.7 Mb of the expressed portion (ESTs) and 19.54 Mb of its genomic sequences for perfect SSRs. We also examined 11.62 Mb of EST sequences of the green peach aphid. The abundance and distribution of the different types of SSR repeats are shown in Table 1. It seems that both the genomic and EST sequences of the pea aphid genome were abundant in SSRs. For example, 12 275 SSRs were detected among 67 309 ESTs, which accounted for 0.53% of the EST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSR source</th>
<th>Sequence length (%)</th>
<th>SSR density (# per kb)</th>
<th># SSRs</th>
<th>Distribution (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA-EST</td>
<td>36.71 Mb</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>12 275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA-Genomic</td>
<td>19.54 Mb</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>10 425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA-EST</td>
<td>11.62 Mb</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2 178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PA, pea aphid; GPA, green peach aphid.
† Di-, dinucleotide repeats; Tri-, trinucleotide repeats; Tetra-, tetranucleotide repeats; Penta-, pentanucleotide repeats.

**Results and discussion**

**Abundance and distribution of SSRs in EST and genomic sequences of the pea aphid**

We analyzed the distribution of perfect SSRs spanning 10 bp or more in the pea aphid EST and genomic sequences. For practical purposes, we only counted SSRs with motifs containing di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotides. Thus, for a 10 bp SSR, one occurrence may comprise a repeat of five dinucleotides, four trinucleotides, three tetranucleotides or two penta-nucleotides. The SSR motifs include both strands of the DNA sequence, AAT, for example, also includes TTA and the reverse complements TAA and ATT. The total lengths of each repeat type were used to estimate abundance of SSRs in the genome.

The pea aphid has a genome of approximately 525 Mb (Sabater-Muñoz et al., 2006). We screened 36.7 Mb of the expressed portion (ESTs) and 19.54 Mb of its genomic sequences for perfect SSRs. We also examined 11.62 Mb of EST sequences of the green peach aphid. The abundance and distribution of the different types of SSR repeats are shown in Table 1. It seems that both the genomic and EST sequences of the pea aphid genome were abundant in SSRs. For example, 12 275 SSRs were detected among 67 309 ESTs, which accounted for 0.53% of the EST
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† Di-, dinucleotide repeats; Tri-, trinucleotide repeats; Tetra-, tetranucleotide repeats; Penta-, pentanucleotide repeats.
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Table 1. Abundance of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in the pea aphid and green peach aphid genomes
sequences examined, and there was, on average, one SSR per 3.0 kb (0.33 SSR per kb EST). The green pea aphid ESTs contained fewer SSRs than the pea aphid ESTs (0.28 vs. 0.53%). SSRs were more abundant in the genomic sequences than in the coding regions of the pea aphid. SSRs were present in 0.86% of the genome with an average density of one SSR per 1.89 kb genomic DNA. This distribution of SSRs in ESTs and genomic sequences was consistent with earlier observations in both plants and animals (e.g., Metzgar et al., 2000; Tóth et al., 2000; Morgante et al., 2002; Li et al., 2004).

Mono- and hexanucleotide SSR repeats were not counted in this study. Therefore, it is difficult to compare the SSR abundance of the pea aphid with other insect species. However, if only the di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide SSR motifs are considered, it seems that the abundance of SSRs is taxon- and species-dependent. Meglécz et al. (2007) analysed abundance and motif distribution of SSRs in eight dipteran (six Drosophila spp., Aedes aegypti and Anopheles gambiae), one lepidopteran (Bombyx mori) and one hymenopteran (Apis mellifera) species for which whole genome sequences are available. The abundance of SSRs with the four repeat types in these species varied from 0.31% in Ae. aegypti to 2.1% in Ap. mellifera. The 0.86% genomic SSR abundance in pea aphids found in the present study is similar to several Drosophila spp. analysed by Meglécz et al. (2007). Accurate SSR statistics in the pea aphid should be available once its whole genome sequence is completed.

Analysis of the distribution of the four repeat types revealed that trinucleotide repeats predominate the coding regions (EST sequences) in both the pea and the green peach aphid genomes, accounting for 54.5 and 66.2%, respectively of the total SSRs identified (Table 1). In pea aphid genomic sequences, 39.6% of SSRs were triplets, and 26.5% were dinucleotides. This is in sharp contrast with results from Meglécz et al. (2007) in which trinucleotide repeats were the third most abundant repeat type after mono- and dinucleotide repeats in all 10 insect species examined. The predominance of trinucleotide repeats in coding regions occurs in many other organisms (Metzgar et al., 2000; Subramanian et al., 2003; La Rota et al., 2005; Peng & Lapitan, 2005), and is probably the consequence of negative selection against frameshift mutations in the coding regions (Metzgar et al., 2000).

The base composition of the SSR motifs of each repeat type is biased strongly toward As and Ts in both EST and genomic SSRs of the pea aphid (Table 2), which is strikingly different from that in other insect species investigated. For example, in all dinucleotide EST-SSRs, 74.4% contain AT/TA, but only 1.77% have GC/CG. In genomic SSRs, 81.1% of trinucleotides have either AAT/TAA/TTA/ATT (42.1%) or ATA/TAT (39.0%). In the di-, tri-, tetra- and pentanucleotide motifs, the most abundant repeat types are AT, AAT, AAAT and AAAAT, respectively (data not shown). A similar feature of base composition in SSR motifs was also found in the human genome (Subramanian et al., 2003), but contrasts with that in some cereal genomes such as rice (Oryza sativa L.) where (CG)n is the most abundant among all trinucleotide repeats (Zhao & Kochert, 1993; Grover et al., 2007). However, Rajendrakumar et al. (2007) reported a high percentage of AT/TA dinucleotide repeats in rice. Why the SSR motif base composition in the pea aphid genome skews so heavily toward A and T is not known.

To summarize, database mining of the pea aphid and green peach aphid EST and genomic DNA sequences suggests that both genomes are rich in SSRs, yet the abundance and distribution of repeat motifs vary greatly as compared with other insect species.

### Cross-species transferability of EST- and genomic SSRs among six aphid species

Of the 24 878 SSRs identified from the pea aphid and green peach aphid EST or genomic DNA sequences (Table 1), 48 genomic SSRs and 43 EST-SSRs of the pea aphid, as well as 13 EST-SSRs of the green peach aphid were selected. Four genomic SSRs of the greenbug were also developed through database mining (data not shown). Thus, together with 40 published genomic SSRs, a total of 148 SSRs was used in the cross-species transferability study, which were from seven aphid species: the pea aphid, the green peach aphid, the greenbug, English grain aphid, Sitobion avenae (F.), bird cherry-oat aphid, Rhopalosiphum padi (L.), Acrystosiphon loti (Tobald) and Sitobion miscanthi (Takahashi) (see Supplementary Material Table S1 for details of all 148 markers). The six target aphid species used as DNA templates in the PCR amplification were the pea aphid, green peach aphid, bird cherry-oat aphid, RWA, cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii (Glover) and the greenbug. The cross-species amplification results were summarized in Table 3 and more detailed information of PCR amplification in individual aphid species was provided in Supplementary Material Table S2.

Of the 148 SSRs, 12 failed to amplify from genomic DNA templates of all six aphid species. Therefore, only data for the remaining 136 SSRs are shown in Table 3. Not all of the
SSRs were able to amplify from their own genome (SSR donor genome). For example, for the 43 newly developed EST-SSRs from the pea aphid, 88.1% (36) had successful amplification in the pea aphid, and was 75.0% for the 12 green peach aphid EST-SSRs (Table 3). Failure of amplification for these primer pairs in their respective donor genome may have been as a result of primer mismatch, and in the case of EST-SSRs, the extension of primers across a splice site or the presence of large introns in the genomic DNA fragment to be amplified. Also, in this study, only a single PCR programme was applied to all markers, and no effort was undertaken to optimize amplification conditions for unsuccessful primer pairs.

The overall rate of successful amplification of all 136 SSRs across six species was 51.9%. The success rates for the 53 EST- and 83 genomic SSRs were on average 65.1% and 46.9%, respectively, suggesting EST-SSRs are more transferable than genomic SSRs. However, it should be pointed out that, in this study, the 53 EST-SSRs underwent BLAST searches to maximize the cross-species amplification during the selection process (see Experiment Procedures below). Therefore, the high transferability of these EST-SSRs might overestimate the average for pea aphid or green peach aphid EST-SSRs.

Transferability of EST-SSRs was higher in phylogenetically closer species. Among the seven SSR donor or target aphid species, the pea aphid, green peach aphid and the RWA belong to the tribe Macrosiphini and the bird cherry-oat aphid, cotton aphid, greenbug and the English grain aphid belong to the tribe Aphidini. The transferability of pea aphid and green peach aphid EST-SSRs was on average 72.5% in three Macrosiphini species and 60.9% in three Aphidini species. However, for the newly developed 41 pea aphid genomic SSRs, there was no difference in cross-species transferability in aphids between the two tribes (24.3 vs. 27.6%). This was also true when all 83 genomic SSRs were considered (47.5 vs. 44.3%).

One interesting observation in this study was the difference in transferability between the 41 newly developed pea aphid genomic SSRs and other 42 previously developed genomic SSRs, which was 26.3 and 51.1%, respectively (based on data in Table 3). The transferability of the 42 previously developed SSRs was similar to Wilson et al. (2004) (59% successful amplification in aphid species within the same tribe). The reason for this difference between the two sets of genomic SSRs is not known. As 90.2% (37/41) of the new SSRs were able to amplify their own genome (Table 3), there should have been no problems in primer binding with template DNAs during PCR reactions. One possible reason is sampling error because in the set of published SSRs, the number of markers from each of the five species tested was limited (Table 3). In addition, while all 41 new SSRs were explored from database mining and have perfect SSR motifs, the published SSRs were all developed through genomic library screening and molecular cloning, and 13 out of the 42 SSRs contain compound SSR motifs (see Supplementary Material Table S1). It would be interesting to see whether this has anything to do with the observed transferability difference between the two sets of genomic SSRs.

Eight markers used in this study (SmS10, Sm11, SmS17b, SmS49 and SmS43ii, Mpmyz3, Mpmyz25 and M86X) have been shown to be X chromosome-linked in different aphid species.
species (Wilson et al., 2004). Wilson et al. (2004) found that X-linked loci have higher cross-species amplification rates than autosomal loci. In the present study, the average rate of successful amplification of the eight X-linked markers across six aphid species was 60.4%, whereas that of all 83 genomic SSRs was 46.9%. The X-linked marker Mpm25 was able to amplify products in all six aphid species tested. Two markers from Sitobion miscanthi, SmS10 and SmS43ii, both had successful amplification in five out of the six species (see Supplementary Material Table S2). However, it is not known whether the loci detected by the eight genomic SSR markers are all located in the X chromosomes of the six aphid species examined in the present study. If this is true, X-linked loci may be more conserved in aphids than autosomal loci (Wilson et al., 2004).

It should be pointed out that successful amplification by transferable SSRs across different aphid species may not necessarily suggest that the SSR motif(s) exist in the target species. While this can be verified by sequencing the PCR products, another way is to test allelic diversity in the target genome, as described below in the present study of biotypic variation in the greenbug and RWA genomes. However, nontransferable SSRs failed to amplify because of the absence of respective motifs in the target aphid genomes. One reason for this failure could be that these motifs have arisen only in the lineage of the SSR-donor species (e.g. pea aphid). Such a hypothesis can be confirmed by testing a large number of additional aphid species to identify the divergence points for these EST-SSRs. The second reason could be that the sequences (ESTs) of the SSR donor and target genomes being compared are not true orthologues carrying the microsatellite.

In this study, we have demonstrated that EST-SSRs from the pea aphid and the green peach aphid are highly transferable even in distantly related aphid species from different tribes. As there are increasingly available genomic resources (ESTs and whole genome sequences), large number of SSR markers can be developed at trivial cost by database mining. In the past, many studies were hindered because of the lack of suitable molecular markers. We believe that this should no longer be a constraint, and we expect SSR markers to be a powerful tool in aphid research, especially in aphid pests that are important in agriculture where less effort has been expended to develop genomic resources.

Genetic diversity of greenbug and Russian wheat aphid biotypes

All SSR markers being able to amplify in either the greenbug or the RWA genome or both were employed to characterize the genetic diversity among biotypes in each aphid species. In total, 67 SSRs were used, including 25 EST-SSRs (one from the green peach aphid and 24 from the pea aphid), four genomic SSRs of the greenbug and 10 newly developed pea aphid genomic SSRs. The remaining 28 were published genomic SSRs. Of the 25 EST-SSRs, all are transferable to the greenbug and 22 to the RWA. Among the 42 genomic SSRs, only 28 can amplify both genomes, and seven each amplified the greenbug or the RWA genome only. Therefore, 60 and 57 SSRs had successful amplification in the greenbug and RWA genome, respectively.

Genomic DNAs from six greenbug (C, E, I, WY4A, WY4B and WY4C) and two RWA (RWA1 and RWA2) biotypes were used as templates in PCR with 67 SSR markers. In total, 219 alleles were detected by the 67 SSRs. On average, each EST and genomic SSR was able to detect 2.43 and 1.51 alleles, respectively, in both aphid species. Among the four greenbug genomic SSRs (Sgg1 to Sgg4), Sgg2 and Sgg3 were from the same genomic DNA sequence (GenBank accession no. DQ887281), and Sgg4 was from the mitochondrial DNA sequence (GenBank accession no. NC001658, see Supplementary Material Table S1). Sgg4 detected one monomorphic band in each of the eight DNA templates. Sgg1 and Sgg2 each detected six alleles in the greenbug, and on average 1.5 alleles in the RWA. Among the 67 SSRs, 63 were developed from aphid species other than the greenbug or the RWA. This result indicates that both genomic- and EST-SSRs from other aphid species are useful in the cereal aphids, but that EST-SSRs are able to detect more allelic variations. Genomic- and EST-SSRs detected on average 2.14 and 2.84 alleles, respectively in the greenbug, and 1.31 and 1.77, respectively in the RWA.

Polymorphism levels among different greenbug biotypes varied greatly. For example, of the 60 SSRs, 20 were polymorphic between biotypes C and E with a polymorphism level of 33.3%, and between WY4A and WY4C the level was 15.0%. The highest degree of polymorphism, 58.2% (39/67), was between biotypes E and WY4A. The genetic diversity of the six greenbug biotypes is explained well by the phylogenetic tree (cladogram) constructed from the SSR data as shown in Fig. 1. This is a consensus tree based on 1000 bootstrapping repetitions and the two RWA biotypes were used as the outgroups. The percentages supporting particular nodes among the 1000 trees are also indicated in Fig. 1. The lowest probability was 59.9% for the node branching biotypes WY4A and WY4C, suggesting the consensus tree generated from the SSR data is highly reliable.

Clustering analysis grouped the six greenbug biotypes into two clades. The first clade was composed of biotypes C, E and I, which are all ‘agricultural’ biotypes commonly found on sorghum and wheat. The second clade is made up of biotypes WY4A, WY4B and WY4C, which are all ‘agricultural’ biotypes commonly found on sorghum and wheat. The second clade is made up of biotypes WY4A, WY4B and WY4C, which are all ‘agricultural’ biotypes commonly found on sorghum and wheat.
greenbug into one clade. Similarly, using amplified fragment length polymorphism fingerprinting among eight greenbug biotypes, Zhu-Salzman et al. (2003) found that biotypes C, E, I and K share more common polymorphisms among themselves than with other biotypes. Genetic studies and field sampling have suggested that greenbug biotypes are host-adapted races (Shufran et al., 2000; Zhu-Salzman et al., 2003; Burd et al., 2006). Common genetic factors exist among different biotypes, enabling them to predominate and thrive in monoculture crops (Zhu-Salzman et al., 2003). Host-based divergence in populations was also observed in the pea aphid (Via et al., 2000; Simon et al., 2003; Frantz et al., 2006), RWA (Dolatti et al., 2005), lettuce root aphid, Pemphigus bursarius (L.) (Miller et al., 2005) and English grain aphid, Sitobion avenae (De Barro et al., 1995; Sunnucks et al., 1997). The molecular data from 67 SSRs in the present study clearly support the earlier notion of the host-adapted nature of the greenbug biotypes, but with higher resolution. While grouped into the same clade, biotypes C, E and I can be further separated into two subgroups – C and I in one and E in the other (Fig. 1). This is consistent with their initial host associations. Both C and I were initially identified in sorghum (Harvey & Hackerott, 1969; Harvey et al., 1991), and E was first identified as overcoming the wheat resistance gene Gb2 (Porter et al., 1982). Clearly, the higher resolving power was a result of more SSRs being used in the present study, all of which are nuclear DNA markers and should be more representative of the greenbug genome.

Apart from host-adapted biotypic genetic variation, regional differentiation among greenbug biotypes is also obvious. Biotypes WY4A, WY4B and WY4C were collected in Wyoming and all of these were grouped into one clade in this study. Geographical differentiation is well known in aphid species (e.g. Martinez-Torres et al., 1997; Simon et al., 1999, 2002; Dedryver et al., 2001; Dolatti et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2005). However, in ‘agricultural’ biotypes, host association seems to be the determining factor of biotypic variation. In recent field surveys, biotypes E and I exhibited the greatest host range including major small grain crops and a number of grasses, and they were the only biotypes collected in all four states of the USA surveyed (Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas) (Burd & Porter, 2006). Nevertheless, these ‘agricultural’ biotypes were consistently grouped into the same clade in several studies (Shufran et al., 2000; Zhu-Salzman et al., 2003; the present study).

Greenbug biotypes have been defined by their virulence relationship to a selected group of plant genotypes (differentials). So far, over 120 greenbug biotypes have been identified with differentials from wheat, barley, sorghum and rye (John Burd, pers. comm.). Obviously, the ability to identify new biotypes depends on the identification of new resistance genes in host plants. All six available wheat differentials (Gb1 to Gb6) have the same reactions to infestation by E and I (Burd & Porter, 2006), which may have been classified as the same biotype if no other differentials were available. This is also true for biotypes WY4A, WY4B and WY4C, which can overcome all six host resistance genes of wheat (John Burd, pers. comm.). This is the basis of the idea that greenbug biotypes are comprised of genetically diverse individuals sharing similar virulence genes (Anstead et al., 2002). Molecular data in the present study clearly support the genetically heterogeneous nature of greenbug biotypes.

The RWA is a native of central Asia that was first identified in the USA in 1986 and was designated as biotype RWA1 when a new biotype, RWA2 was discovered in 2004 (Haley et al., 2004). In the present study, of the 57 SSR markers tested, 19 (33.4%) were polymorphic between RWA1 and RWA2, suggesting obvious genetic differentiation between the two biotypes. This level of diversity between RWA1 and RWA2 is almost the same as that among the ‘agricultural’ greenbug biotypes C, E and I examined, which was 33.3% (20/60). It is well known that genetic variations exist among RWA populations from different regions of the world (e.g. Puterka et al., 1992; Dolatti et al., 2005), but whether
the biotypic diversity between RWA1 and RWA2 observed here preceded or followed its introduction into the USA is not clear.

Conclusions

In this study, we explored the databases of the pea aphid and green peach aphid EST and genomic sequences and developed new SSR markers. We examined cross-species transferability of these SSR markers and transferable markers were employed for evaluating genetic diversity of eight greenbug and RWA biotypes. It is clear that the expressed portion and genomic sequences of the pea aphid genome are a rich source of SSRs. While trinucleotide repeats predominate the SSR motifs, the base composition significantly skews toward A and T nucleotides in all repeat types in the pea aphid genome.

The pea aphid and green peach aphid EST-SSRs are highly transferable to other aphid species, even to those in different tribes. These SSRs will be a valuable source of molecular markers in various studies in aphids, especially for genetic and comparative mapping, or evolutionary studies.

SSR-based phylogenetic analysis suggested significant host-associated genetic variation among the greenbug biotypes. Regional differentiation of greenbug biotypes is also evident. Significant genetic variations comparable to ‘agricultural’ greenbug biotypes also exist within RWA biotypes, even though it was discovered in the USA only about 20 years ago.

Experimental procedures

Database mining and development of SSR markers

The pea aphid and green peach aphid ESTs were acquired from dbEST at the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD) and are available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov. As of 5 March 2007, there were 67,309 pea aphid ESTs corresponding to about 36.7 Mb in size, 17,567 green peach aphid ESTs (approximately 11.0 Mb) deposited in dbEST, and over four million reads of pea aphid genomic sequences deposited by the Pea Aphid Genome Project (http://www.hgsc.bcm.tmc.edu/projects/aphid/). All EST sequences and the first 20,000 genomic sequencing reads (total 19.5 Mb) were downloaded for SSR mining and sequence analysis. In addition, several genomic sequences of the greenbug deposited at NCBI were also downloaded for mining and development of SSR primers.

Simple perfect SSRs were screened electronically using the SSR Primer Discovery Program (http://hornbill.cs.psu.lutrobe.edu.au/cgi-binpub/ssrprimer/indexssr.pl). In this program, the minimum length of SSRs was set as 10 bp, and only SSRs with repeat units of 2–5 bp in the motif were reported. For practical use, SSRs with mononucleotide and hexanucleotide repeats were not counted or included in the final statistics. In running this program, all the default parameters were used. The input for this program is EST or genomic sequences in FASTA format, and the output includes a list of SSRs with reports of repeat type, SSR motif, SSR length, position, left and right primer sequences and their Tm, GC (%), pair complementarity values at the 3’ and 5’ ends and the expected PCR product size etc. The output was downloaded into Microsoft Excel for further analysis.

Numerous SSRs were identified from the above database mining. To select EST-SSRs for evaluation of their cross-species transferability, the following steps were taken: (1) select SSRs with minimum length of 20 bp. (2) remove primers with redundant sequences. Many EST sequences in dbEST are highly redundant, including the pea aphid raw genomic sequences. This was carried out by checking the redundancy of primer sequences (use ‘Sort’ command in Microsoft Excel to align primer sequences). (3) To maximize cross-species transferability, each EST-SSR primer was further subjected to BLAST search against the dbEST database. SSR primers with hits in more than one aphid species were selected, whereas those having BLAST hits in only the SSR source species (pea aphid or green peach aphid) were excluded. However, for selecting pea aphid genomic SSRs, only the first two steps were taken. Finally, 108 new SSRs (43 pea aphid EST-SSRs, 48 pea aphid genomic SSRs, 13 green peach aphid EST-SSRs and four greenbug genomic SSRs) were developed and used in the present study.

For purposes of comparison, 40 additional genomic SSRs from five different aphid species published earlier were also tested in this study. These included 13 (SmS10, SmS16b, SmS17b, SmS19, SmS23, SmS24, SmS30, SmS43ii, SmS49, Sm10, Sm11, Sm12 and Sm17) from Sitobion miscanthi (Wilson et al., 2004), four (SavS3.R, SavS3.43, SavS5.L and Sa42) from the English grain aphid, Sitobion avenae (Simon et al., 1999; Wilson et al., 2004), eight (Mpmyz2, Mpmyz3, Mpmyz9, Mpmyz25, M40A, M49A, M63A and M86X) from the green peach aphid, Myzus persicae (Sloane et al., 2001; Wilson et al., 2004), eight (R1.35, R5.10, R2.73, R5.29.b, R6.3, R3.171, R5.138 and R5.50) from the bird cherry-oat aphid, Rhopalosiphum padi (Simon et al., 2001) and seven (AIB04M, AIB07M, AIC09M, ApG10M, ApH 05M, ApH 08M and ApH 10M) from pea aphids (Caillauld et al., 2004). Detailed information on all the 148 SSR markers has been listed in Supplementary Material Table S1. Information for all other SSRs from the pea aphid or green peach aphid database mining in this study is also available upon request from the corresponding author.

Aphids, genomic DNA isolation and PCR

Six aphid species were used to evaluate the transferability of SSR markers: the pea aphid (strain LSR1.G.1.AC), cotton aphid (Aphis gossypii), greenbug (biotype E), RWA (biotype RWA1), bird cherry-oat aphid and the green peach aphid. Single colonies of the pea aphid and RWA1 were provided by David Stern (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ) and J.P.Michaela (Kansas State University, Hays, KS), respectively. The DNA sample of the cotton aphid was kindly supplied by Gary A. Thompson (University of Arkansas, Little Rock, AR). Colonies of the green peach aphid and the bird cherry-oat aphid were each established from a single nymph from local greenhouse populations at Bushland, Texas, USA.

To investigate biotypic variation with SSR markers, six biotypes of the greenbug (C, E, I, WY4A, WY4B and WY4C) and two biotypes of the RWA (RWA1 and RWA2) were employed. C, E and I were ‘agriculture’ biotypes originally identified from either wheat or sorghum in the Southern Plains, whereas WY4A, WY4B and WY4C were all laboratory strains collected from wheat fields in the state of Wyoming. The reason for choosing the six biotypes was twofold: to verify the nature of host association and to examine possible geographical differentiation of greenbug biotypes. WY4A,
For each species, approximately 50 live aphids were collected, flash frozen and ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The CTAB method (Murray & Thompson, 1980) was used to extract genomic DNAs. Each PCR consisted of 10 ng template DNA, 0.5 μM each of two primers and 1× PCR master mix (Promega Inc., Madison, WI, USA) in a total volume of 10.0 μl, which was performed in a PTC-200 thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). A single, touch-down PCR program was designed for all primer sets: after 3 min initial denaturation at 95 °C, six cycles were performed for 45 s at 94 °C, 5 min at 68 °C, 1 min at 72 °C with the annealing temperature being reduced by 2 °C per cycle; next, the PCR was continued by eight cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 2 min at 58 °C, 1 min at 72 °C with the annealing temperature reduced by 1 °C per cycle, followed by 25 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 2 min at 50 °C and 1 min at 72 °C.

For most SSR markers, the variation in sizes of PCR products allowed the patterns to be resolved unambiguously in 4% high-resolution agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. For SSR markers generating DNA fragments of very similar size from different DNA templates, PCR products were size-fractioned in nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels and banding patterns were visualized by SYBR Gold staining (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA). For quality control, during PCR analysis, primers that amplified null alleles were repeated at least one more time in order to rule out the possibility of the failure of PCR amplification. Detailed information for the PCR amplification products in six aphids with 136 SSR markers is listed in Supplementary Material Table S2.

**Data analysis**

The PCR products were scored in binary format, with the presence of a band being scored as 1 and its absence as 0, thus generating a binary matrix. In the cross-species transferability study, a SSR marker was considered transferable if it successfully amplified target fragment(s) in a species other than the SSR-donor. For evaluation of biotypic diversity, the binary matrix of different biotypes and transferable markers was used as input in data analysis with the software package PHYLIP 3.66 (available at http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html; Felsenstein, 1989). Specifically, 1000 bootstrapped data sets were created using SEQBOOT, after which RESTDIST was used to construct pairwise distance matrices using the multiple set option of RESTDIST and site length option of 40, then subjected to neighbour-joining (NJ) cluster analysis using the neighbor option of NEIGHBOR (Saitou & Nei, 1987) and a consensus tree was plotted in CONSENSE. The cladogram of greenbug biotypes was drawn with the computer program TreeView (version 1.6.6; http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/treeview/) in which the RWA was treated as the outgroup.
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